



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,892	07/15/2003	Jessica Elizabeth LeMay	460.2221USQ	7326

7590 03/31/2010
CHARLES N.J. RUGGIERO, ESQ.
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.
10th FLOOR
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE
STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, CATHARINE L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3761

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/31/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/619,892	Applicant(s) LEMAY ET AL.	
	Examiner LYNNE ANDERSON	Art Unit 3761	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 37, 44 and 46-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 37, 44 and 46-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/10</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 January 2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 37, 44, and 46-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The finger grip having a flared region with an outer diameter that continuously increases is taught by Wada et al. (6,432,076).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-13, 15-20, 25, 31, 34, 37, 44, and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Voss (4,361,150) in view of Wada et al. (6,432,076).

Art Unit: 3761

5. With respect to claims 11-13, 15-16, 25, 34, 44, and 46-50, Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the taper ratio and the finger grip having a gripping area with a decreased diameter. Voss discloses a tampon applicator assembly comprising a barrel 12, a plunger 13, and a pledget H, as shown in figure 1. The barrel 12 has an insertion area with an insertion tip 20, a finger grip area 18, and a tapered main section 15 therebetween. The tapered main section 15 has a maximum outer dimension located closer to the finger grip area 18 than the insertion tip 20, as shown in figure 1, and described in column 4, lines 15-19. The finger grip area 18 may be defined to include up to 25% of the distal end of the barrel 12, and therefore the maximum outer dimension of the tapered main section 15 will be located from the insertion tip 20 about 75% of the overall length of the barrel 12. The insertion tip 20 comprises a plurality of petals 19, as shown in figure 1. The tapered main section 15 is tapered linearly from the maximum outer dimension to the insertion tip area 17, as shown in figure 1, and described in column 4, lines 15-19.
6. Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the taper ratio being about 1.08 to about 1.13. Voss discloses the main section being tapered, as described in column 4, lines 15-19, but remains silent as to the degree of the taper. The taper of the barrel of Voss is shown in figure 1 as being very gradual.
7. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the applicator barrel of Voss with a taper ratio of about 1.08 to about 1.13 to provide a gradual taper to the barrel.

Art Unit: 3761

8. Wada teaches a tampon applicator comprising a finger grip 8 having a shoulder region (shown but not numbered) adjacent the main section of the applicator and a flared region 9 adjacent the gripping region, as shown in figure 1. The shoulder region decreases in diameter to form a gripping region 8 having a smaller diameter than the main section of the applicator, as shown in figure 1. The flared region 9 has a diameter that continuously increases from the gripping region 8 to the second end of the barrel, as shown in figure 1. The finger grip configuration of Wada provides the applicator with an area that is easily located and gripped during use of the applicator.

9. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the tampon applicator of Voss with the finger grip taught by Wada to provide an area that is easily located and gripped during use of the applicator.

10. With respect to claims 17-18, Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the insertion tip having a taper ratio of between about 0.7 and 0.9. Voss discloses the insertion tip is tapered at an angle of about 90° , as described in column 4, lines 33-45, but remains silent as to the ratio of the taper. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the insertion tip area of Voss with a taper ratio between about 0.7 and 0.9, in order to provide a taper having an angle of about 90° .

11. With respect to claims 19-20, 31, and 37, Voss discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the petal length-to-width ratio being over about 2. Voss discloses the petals having a length greater than their width, as shown in figure 1, but remains silent as to the exact dimensions of the petals. Voss further discloses in

Art Unit: 3761

column 4, lines 33-45, the length-to-width ratio of the petals must be sufficiently long to provide the insertion end area with a taper having an angle of about 90°. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the petals of Voss with a length-to-width ratio of greater than about 2, in order that the petals having a length sufficient to provide the insertion end area with a taper having an angle of about 90°.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LYNNE ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lynne Anderson/
Examiner, Art Unit 3761